



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATESIDEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|
| 09/379,092 | 08/23/1999 | CHANDRA V. MOULI | MICT-0042-US | 9858 | |
| 7590 02/23/2004 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| TROP PRUNI 8554 KATY FR | ER HU & MILES REEWAY | | POMPEY, RO | POMPEY, RON EVERETT | |
| SUITE 100 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| HOUSTON, TX 77024 | | | 2812 | , | |

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

| | 1 | | 19 1 |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|-------|
| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/379,092 | MOULI, CHAND | RA V. |
| Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | Ron E Pompey | 2812 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>28 September 2001</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

| 1. | | The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order. |
|----|-------------|--|
| 2. | | The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)). |
| 3. | | At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)). |
| 4. | | The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)). |
| 5. | | The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)). |
| 6. | \boxtimes | A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and |
| | (a) | the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief. |
| | (b) | the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief. |
| 7. | \boxtimes | The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)). |
| 8. | | The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)). |
| 9. | | Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): |

John F. Niebling
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Application/Control Number: 09/379,092

Art Unit: 2812

NOTIFICATION OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF 37 CFR 1.192(c)

The brief does not contain arguments of the appellant with respect to each of the issues presented for review in 37 CFR 1.192(c)(6), and the basis therefor, with citations of the authorities, statutes, and parts of the record relied on as required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(8).

The brief does not contain, for each rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103, an argument which specifies the errors in the rejection and, if appropriate, the specific limitations in the rejected claims which are not described in the prior art relied upon in the rejection, and an explanation how such limitations render the claimed subject matter unobvious over the prior art. If the rejection is based upon a combination of references, the argument must explain why the references, taken as a whole do not suggest the claimed subject matter, and shall include, as may be appropriate, an explanation of why features disclosed in one reference may not be properly combined with features disclosed in another reference. A general argument that all the limitations are not described in a single reference does not satisfy the requirements of 37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)(iv).

The appellant failed to respond to a ground of rejection, the official notice with the Batra (US 6,127,242) as evidence, pertaining to claim 33.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron E Pompey whose telephone number is (571) 272-1680. The examiner can normally be reached on flex schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on (571) 272-1679. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Ron Pompey Art Unit: 2812 February 5, 2004

John F. Niebling
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800